Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/064,582	GOODNOW ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dipakkumar Gandhi	2138
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Dipakkumar Gandhi</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Steven Fischman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 March 2006	Time: <u>10.25 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Claim 11.		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
078		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In claim 11, to correct a lack of antecedent basis for "said transmitter means", in line 4 of claim 11, after "successively transmitting data signals", "by a transmitter means" is inserted. The applicant's representative Steven Fischman agreed with the examiner for this correction.